### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/645,887	08/20/2003	Paul E. Jacobs	000373D1	8187
	7590 02/01/2007 INCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
5775 MOREHO	DUSE DR.		ALVAREZ, RAQUEL	
SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	
		· ·		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	02/01/2007	ELECTRONIC	

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Notice of this Office communication was sent electronically on the above-indicated "Notification Date" and has a shortened statutory period for reply of 3 MONTHS from 02/01/2007.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t ssadik@qualcomm.com

		Арр	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/0	645,887	JACOBS ET AL.	JACOBS ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			miner	Art Unit				
			uel Alvarez	3622				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears	on the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	idress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAINSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE ( 37 CFR 1.136(a). I pication. tory period will apply II, by statute, cause	OF THIS COMMUN n no event, however, may y and will expire SIX (6) Mo the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 22 August	2003.					
	_							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-58</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or elec	tion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by the l	Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted	or b) ☐ objected to	o by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawir	ng(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is	required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	y the Examin	er. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P7	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	r foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
	application from the Internationa	al Bureau (PC	T Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the	certified copies no	ot received.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	-	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC	o(s)/Mail Date						
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/7/2005</u> .		6)  Other: _	f Informal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/645,887 Page 2

Art Unit: 3622

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This office action is in response to communication filed on 8/22/2003.

2. Claims 1-58 are presented for examination.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claims are recite functional descriptive material (software/program per se).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claim 12 depends from itself. Correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Marsh et al. (5,848,397 hereinafter Marsh) in view of Montague (6,298,332 hereinafter Montague).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,887

Art Unit: 3622

With respect to claims 1, 4, 7, 9-10, 13-19, 24, 27, 31, 34-40, 45-50 Marsh teaches software for use on a client device that is configured for communications with at least one remote source of advertisements via a communications network (Abstract). An advertisement download function that downloads advertisements from at least one remote source, during one or more advertisements download sessions (see figure 4, item 601); an advertisement store function that stores the download advertisements on a storage medium associated with the client device (col. 14, lines 1-10); an advertisement display function that effects display of at least selected ones of the stored advertisements on a display associated with the client device (Figure 6, 702); an audit function that compiles as-related statistical data relating to the downloaded advertisements, wherein the ad-related statistical data includes display event-related data regarding advertisements that were displayed during a prescribed audit interval (col. 14, lines 66-, col. 15, lines 1-7); an audit data transmit function that transmits the ad-related statistical data to a prescribed server system (Figure 8 and col. 15, lines 10-20).

Marsh teaches sending the statistical data to the server (Figure 8 and col. 14, lines 66-, col. 15, lines 1-20). Marsh does not specifically teach sending the data only in response to a user's grant permission to do so. Montague teaches delivering vendor-supplied information from a purchase, the purchaser authorizing transmission of various data to a vendor server or a third party server (col. 9, lines 20-24). It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included in the system of Marsh the teaching of Montague of sending the data only in

Application/Control Number: 10/645,887

Art Unit: 3622

response to a user's grant permission to do so because such a modification would allow the users to have control of the data that is transmitted.

With respect to claims 2-3, 25-26 Marsh further teaches that the prescribed rollover intervals correspond to the prescribed audit intervals (col. 3, lines 12-27 and col. 7, lines 7-24).

With respect to claims 5 and 28, Marsh further teaches under a client policy transmitting a statistical sampling of a population of client devices, at prescribed times (col. 3, lines 12-27 and col. 7, lines 7-24).

Claims 6 and 29 further recite transmitting to random ones of population of client devices at prescribed times. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to perform a function at random in order to protect the data been transmitted. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included transmitting the audit at random times in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

With respect to claims 8 and 32, Marsh further teaches that the audit data further includes user demographic data (col. 3, lines 12-27).

Application/Control Number: 10/645,887

Art Unit: 3622

With respect to claims 20, 41 Marsh further teaches that the advertisement distribution server system is managed by a producer of the software (col. 3, lines 12-56).

With respect to claims 11-12, 21, 32-33, 42, Marsh further teaches that the advertisement distribution server system is managed by a distributor of the software (col. 3, lines 12-56).

With respect to claims 22, 43, Marsh further teaches that the communication network is the Internet (Figure 8, 107).

With respect to claims 23, 44, Marsh further teaches that the software is e-mail software (see Figure 8).

With respect to claims 51 and 53, Marsh further teaches that advertisement display function effects display when the client device is offline (col. 6, lines 63-, col. 7, lines 1).

With respect to claims 52, 54 Marsh further teaches that the advertisement display function effects display while the user is composing/reading e-mail messages (col. 7, lines 1-6).

Art Unit: 3622

Claims 55-58 further recite that the audit data is transmitted at activated random times. Marsh teaches transmitting audit data (col. 14, lines 66-, col. 15, lines 1-7). Marsh does not specifically teach transmitting the audit data at random times. Official notice is taken that it is old and well known to perform a function at random in order to protect the data been transmitted. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to have included transmitting the audit at random times in order to obtain the above mentioned advantage.

### **Point of contact**

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raquel Alvarez whose telephone number is (571)272-6715. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eric w. Stamber can be reached on (571)272-6724. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/645,887 Page 7

Art Unit: 3622

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571/272-1000.

Raquel Alvarez Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

R.A. 1/26/2007